Case 1:07-cv-09047-AKH D	Document 1	Filed 10/05/2007	Page 1 of 11
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK			
IN RE WORLD TRADE CENTER DISASTER SITE LITIGATION		21 MC 100 (AKH)	
RICHARD KENNEY AND MARGARET KENNE	EY	DOCKET NO.	
Plaint	tiffs,		ODT FORMU
		CHECK-OFF ("SHO COMPLAINT RELATED TO THE MASTER COMPLA	E
- against -			
A RUSSO WRECKING, ET. AL.,		JURY	MAND A TRIAL BY
SEE ATTACHED RIDER,			
Defend	dants.		
By Order of the Honorable Alvin 2006, ("the Order"), Amended Master Con			0
	NOTICE OF	ADOPTION	
All headings and paragraphs in the Master Complaint are applicable to and are adopted by the instant Plaintiff(s) as if fully set forth herein in addition to those paragraphs specific to the individual Plaintiff(s), which are listed below. These are marked with an ' $\checkmark$ ' if applicable to the instant Plaintiff(s), and specific case information is set forth, as needed, below.			

Plaintiffs, RICHARD KENNEY AND MARGARET KENNEY, by his/her/their attorneys WORBY GRONER EDELMAN & NAPOLI BERN, LLP, complaining of Defendant(s), respectfully allege:

# I. PARTIES

## A. PLAINTIFF(S)

	Ç	(OR)	
2.	Alternatively, $\square$	is the	of Decedent
	, and brings this claim	in his (her) capacity as o	f the Estate of
	Please .	read this document careful	ly.
	It is very important that you	fill out each and every sect	tion of this document.

C	ase 1:0	7-cv-09047-AKH Docu	ument	1 Filed 10/05/2007	Page 2 of 11
3. York residing Plaintiff:		-		after the "Derivative Plaint 65-, and has the following r	
riamum.	V	RICHARD KENNEY, a	and brir	erein, is and has been lawfungs this derivative action for	or her (his) loss due to the
				nd (his wife), Plaintiff RICI Other:	
4. Police Depa		period from 9/11/2001 to NYPD) as a Detective at:	10/24/2	2001 the Injured Plaintiff w	orked for New York
	Please l	be as specific as possible w	hen fil	ling in the following dates o	and locations
======= ✓ The Wor		Center Site	===	=====================================	
Location(s)	(i.e., bui	lding, quadrant, etc.)		From on or about	
		/11/2001 until _10/24/200 hours per day; for	01_;	Approximately	
Approximat	tely <u>20</u>	days total.		✓ Other:* For injured pla	aintiffs who worked at
☑ The New From on or	about <u>9</u> tely <u>12</u>	ity Medical Examiner's Off /19/2001_ until _10/5/2001 hours per day; for		Non-WTC Site building or plaintiff worked at the add dates alleged, for the hour days, and for the employer	r location. The injured dress/location, for the s per day, for the total
✓ The Fres	h Kills L about <u>9</u> tely <u>12</u>	/14/2001 until 10/5/2001; hours per day; for	===	From on or about 9/20/200 Approximately 0 hours Approximately 0 days to Name and Address of Nor Building/Worksite:	per day; for otal; n-WTC Site
*Continue		*		er if necessary. If more space sheet of paper with the i	* *
5.	Injure	ed Plaintiff			
	<b>✓</b> above	<u>-</u>	thed no	oxious fumes on all dates, a	at the site(s) indicated
	✓ dates	Was exposed to and inha at the site(s) indicated above		ingested toxic substances a	nd particulates on all
	the si	Was exposed to and absorte(s) indicated above;	orbed o	r touched toxic or caustic s	ubstances on all dates at
	V	Other: Not yet determine	ed.		

6.

Injure	d Plaintiff
V	Has not made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund. Pursuant to $\$405(c)(3)(B)(i)$ of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. $\$40101$ , the issue of waiver is inapplicable.
	Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund that was denied. Pursuant to § $405(c)(3)(B)(i)$ of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, the issue of waiver is inapplicable.
	Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund, that was subsequently withdrawn by Ground-Zero Plaintiff. Pursuant to § 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, the issue of waiver is inapplicable.
	Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund that was granted. Pursuant to § $405(c)(3)(B)(i)$ of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, Ground Zero-Plaintiff has waived her/his right(s) to pursue any further legal action for the injuries identified in said claim.

#### B. DEFENDANT(S)

The following is a list of all Defendant(s) named in the Master Complaint. If checked, all paragraphs pertaining to that Defendant are deemed pleaded herein.

☑ THE CITY OF NEW YORK	☐ A RUSSO WRECKING
✓ A Notice of Claim was timely filed and	☑ ABM INDUSTRIES, INC.
served on 6/20/07 and	☑ ABM JANITORIAL NORTHEAST, INC.
☐ pursuant to General Municipal Law §50-	☑ AMEC CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT,
h the CITY held a hearing on (OR)	INC.
9 , ,	☑ AMEC EARTH & ENVIRONMENTAL, INC.
✓ The City has yet to hold a hearing as	☑ ANTHONY CORTESE SPECIALIZED
required by General Municipal Law §50-h	HAULING, LLC, INC.
✓ More than thirty days have passed and	☑ ATLANTIC HEYDT CORP
the City has not adjusted the claim	☑ BECHTEL ASSOCIATES PROFESSIONAL
(OR)	CORPORATION
☐ An Order to Show Cause application to	☑ BECHTEL CONSTRUCTION, INC.
deem Plaintiff's (Plaintiffs') Notice of	☑ BECHTEL CORPORATION
Claim timely filed, or in the alternative to grant	☑ BECHTEL ENVIRONMENTAL, INC.
Plaintiff(s) leave to file a late Notice of Claim	☑ BERKEL & COMPANY, CONTRACTORS,
Nunc Pro Tunc (for leave to file a late Notice of	INC.
Claim <i>Nunc Pro Tunc</i> ) has been filed and a	☑ BIG APPLE WRECKING & CONSTRUCTION
determination	CORP
☐ is pending	$\square$ BOVIS LEND LEASE, INC.
Granting petition was made on	☑ BOVIS LEND LEASE LMB, INC.
☐ Denying petition was made on	☑ BREEZE CARTING CORP
	☑ BREEZE NATIONAL, INC.
PORT AUTHORITY OF NEW YORK AND	☑ BRER-FOUR TRANSPORTATION CORP.
NEW JERSEY ["PORT AUTHORITY"]	☑ BURO HAPPOLD CONSULTING ENGINEERS,
☐ A Notice of Claim was filed and served	P.C.
pursuant to Chapter 179, §7 of The	☑ C.B. CONTRACTING CORP
Unconsolidated Laws of the State of New	☑ CANRON CONSTRUCTION CORP
York on	☐ CONSOLIDATED EDISON COMPANY OF
☐ More than sixty days have elapsed since	NEW YORK, INC.
the Notice of Claim was filed, (and)	☑ CORD CONTRACTING CO., INC
☐ the PORT AUTHORITY has	☐ CRAIG TEST BORING COMPANY INC.
adjusted this claim	☐ DAKOTA DEMO-TECH
☐ the PORT AUTHORITY has not	☑ DIAMOND POINT EXCAVATING CORP
adjusted this claim.	☑ DIEGO CONSTRUCTION, INC. ☑ DIVERSIFIED CARTING, INC.
	☑ DIVERSIMED CARTING, INC. ☐ DMT ENTERPRISE, INC.
☐ 1 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	☑ D'ONOFRIO GENERAL CONTRACTORS
☐ 1 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	CORP
☐ 2 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	☑ EAGLE LEASING & INDUSTRIAL SUPPLY
☐ 2 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	☐ EAGLE CHASING & INDUSTRIAL SOFT LT
☐ 4 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	☐ EAGLE SCAFFOLDING CO, INC.
☐ 4 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	
D 5 WODI D TO A DE CENTED LLC	✓ ELDAVIES INC
☐ 5 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	☑ EJ DAVIES, INC. ☑ EN-TECH CORP
☐ 5 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC ☐ 7 WORLD TRADE COMPANY, L.P.	<ul><li>✓ EJ DAVIES, INC.</li><li>✓ EN-TECH CORP</li><li>☐ ET ENVIRONMENTAL</li></ul>

Please read this document carefully.

It is very important that you fill out each and every section of this document.

OTHER: \_

### 

☐ Non-WTC Site Building Owner	☐ Non-WTC Site Building Managing Agent
Name:	Name:
Business/Service Address:	
Building/Worksite Address:	Building/Worksite Address:
☐ Non-WTC Site Lessee	-
Name:	
Business/Service Address:	
Building/Worksite Address:	

# Case 1:07-cv-09047-AKH Document 1 Filed 10/05/2007 Page 7 of 11 II. JURISDICTION

The Court's jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action is:

Stabil	unded upon Federal Question Jurisdiction; specification Act of 2001, (or);   Federal Officers I;  Contested, by all jurisdiction over this action, pursuant to 28	Turisd ut the	iction, (or); $\square$ Other (specify): Court has already determined that it has
	III CAUSES	S OF	ACTION
of lial law:			d defendants based upon the following theories a such a claim under the applicable substantive
<b>V</b>	Breach of the defendants' duties and obligations pursuant to the New York State Labor Law(s) including §§ 200 and 240	<b>V</b>	Common Law Negligence, including allegations of Fraud and Misrepresentation
<b>V</b>	Breach of the defendants' duties and obligations pursuant to the New York State Labor Law 241(6)		<ul> <li>✓ Air Quality;</li> <li>✓ Effectiveness of Mask Provided;</li> <li>✓ Effectiveness of Other Safety Equipment Provided</li> </ul>
<b>V</b>	Pursuant to New York General Municipal Law §205-a		(specify:);  ✓ Other(specify): Not yet determined
<b>V</b>	Pursuant to New York General Municipal Law §205-e		Wrongful Death
		V	Loss of Services/Loss of Consortium for Derivative Plaintiff

Other: \_\_\_\_\_

#### Case 1:07-cv-09047-AKH Document 1 Filed 10/05/2007 Page 8 of 11 IV CAUSATION, INJURY AND DAMAGE

1. As a direct and proximate result of defendant's culpable actions in the rescue and/or recovery and/or construction, renovation, alteration, demolition and all work performed at the premises, the Injured Plaintiff sustained (including, but not limited to) the following injuries:

	Cancer Injury: N/A.  Date of onset:  Date physician first connected this injury to  WTC work:		Cardiovascular Injury: N/A.  Date of onset:  Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work:
V	Respiratory Injury: Asthma; Bronchitis; Chronic Cough; Cough; RADS; Rhinitis; Shortness of Breath; Sinus Problems; Wheezing Date of onset: 10/25/2001 Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work: To be supplied at a later date	<b>√</b>	Fear of Cancer Date of onset: 10/25/2001 Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work: To be supplied at a later date
	Digestive Injury: N/A.  Date of onset:  Date physician first connected this injury to  WTC work:	V	Other Injury: Sleeping Problems Date of onset: 10/24/2001 Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work: To be supplied at a later date

*NOTE:* The foregoing is *NOT* an exhaustive list of injuries that may be alleged.

2. As a direct and proximate result of the injuries identified in paragraph "1", above, the

Ground Zero-Plaintiff has in the past suffered and/or will in the future suffer the following compensable damages:		
<u></u>	Pain and suffering	
<b>√</b>	Loss of the enjoyment of life	
V	Loss of earnings and/or impairment of earning capacity	
<b>▽</b>	Loss of retirement benefits/diminution of retirement benefits  Expenses for medical care, treatment, and rehabilitation	
<b>✓</b>	Other:  ✓ Mental anguish ✓ Disability ✓ Medical monitoring ✓ Other: Not yet determined.	

3. As a direct and proximate result of the injuries described *supra*, the derivative plaintiff(s), if any, have in the past suffered and/or will in the future suffer a loss of the love, society, companionship, services, affection, and support of the plaintiff and such other losses, injuries and damages for which compensation is legally appropriate.

WHEREFORE, plaintiff(s) respectfully pray that the Court enter judgment in his/her/their favor and against defendant(s) for damages, costs of suit and such other, further and different relief as may be just and appropriate.

 $Plaintiff(s) \ demands \ that \ all \ issues \ of \ fact \ in \ this \ case \ be \ tried \ before \ a \ properly \ empanelled \ jury.$ 

Dated: New York, New York September 28, 2007

Yours, etc.,

Worby, Groner Edelman & Napoli Bern, LLP

Attorneys for Plaintiff(s), Richard Kenney and Margaret Kenney

By:

Christopher R. LoPalo (CL 6466)

115 Broadway 12<sup>th</sup> Floor

New York, New York 10006

Phone: (212) 267-3700

#### ATTORNEY VERIFICATION

CHRISTOPHER R. LOPALO, an attorney at law, duly admitted to practice in the Courts of the State of New York, affirms under the penalties of perjury that:

He is the attorney for the plaintiff(s) in the above-entitled action.

That he has read the foregoing SUMMONS AND VERIFIED COMPLAINT and knows

the contents thereof, and upon information and belief, deponent believes

the matters alleged therein to be true.

The reason this Verification is made by deponent and not by the plaintiff(s) is that the plaintiff(s) herein reside(s) in a county other than the one in which the plaintiff's attorneys maintain their office.

The source of deponent's information and the grounds of his belief are communication, papers, reports and investigation contained in the file.

DATED: New York, New York September 28, 2007

CHRISTOPHER R. LOPALO

Docket No: UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK RICHARD KENNEY (AND WIFE, MARGARET KENNEY), Plaintiff(s) - against -A RUSSO WRECKING, ET. AL., Defendant(s). SUMMONS AND VERIFIED COMPLAINT WORBY GRONER EDELMAN & NAPOLI BERN, LLP Attorneys for: Plaintiff(s) Office and Post Office Address, Telephone 115 Broadway - 12th Floor New York, New York 10006 (212) 267-3700 To Attorney(s) for Service of a copy of the within is hereby admitted. Dated, Attorney(s) for PLEASE TAKE NOTICE: **☐ NOTICE OF ENTRY** that the within is a (certified) true copy of an duly entered in the office of the clerk of the within named court on \_\_\_\_\_20\_\_\_ ☐ NOTICE OF SETTLEMENT that an order of which the within is a true copy will be presented for settlement to the HON. one of the judges of the within named Court, at 20 at M. Dated, Yours, etc., WORBY GRONER EDELMAN & NAPOLI BERN, LLP